



DIRECTORATE OF RESEARCH & PUBLICATIONS

Quaid-E-Awam University of Engineering, Science & Technology (QUEST), Nawabshah-67450, Sindh, Pakistan

Phone: : +92-244-9370435, Email: editor_rj@quest.edu.pk, Web: <http://publications.quest.edu.pk>



Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

Quaid-e-Awam University Research Journal of Engineering, Science & Technology is a double blind peer-reviewed journal. All the parties involved in the publishing process, including the authors, editors, editorial team, editorial board, steering committee, reviewers, and the publishers strictly adhere to the agreed-upon rules, publication ethics and the standard publication procedure. We are in a continuous process of uplifting the standard of our journal by following all the professional and research ethics and providing due credit to the scientists publishing in our journal.

The duties of editors, peer reviewers and authors of our journal are described as follows.

1. Duties of Editors

- The editors will not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.
- The editor should be responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The validation of the work in question and its importance to researchers and readers must always drive such decisions.
- The editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.
- Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author.
- Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage.
- Editors should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interests are revealed after publication.
- Editor should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.
- Editors' decision to accept or reject a paper for publication should be based only on the paper's importance, originality and clarity, and the study's relevance to the aim of journal.

2. Duties of Peer Reviewers

- Any invited referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should immediately

notify the editors and decline the invitation to review so that alternative reviewers can be contacted.

- Any manuscripts received for review are confidential documents and must be treated as such; they must not be shown to or discussed with others except if authorized by the Editor-in-Chief.
- Reviews should be conducted objectively and observations formulated clearly with supporting arguments so that authors can use them for improving the manuscript. Personal criticism of the authors is inappropriate.
- Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that is an observation, derivation or argument that has been reported in previous publications should be accompanied by the relevant citation.
- Reviewers should notify the editors of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other manuscript (published or unpublished) of which they have personal knowledge.
- Any invited referee who has conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies or institutions connected to the manuscript and the work described therein should immediately notify the editors to declare their conflicts of interest and decline the invitation to review so that alternative reviewers can be contacted.
- Unpublished material disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in a reviewer's own research without the express written consent of the authors. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for the reviewer's personal advantage. This applies also to invited reviewers who decline the review invitation.

3. Duties of Authors

- Authors of papers should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper.
- Authors should make sure that their paper contains sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.
- Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data, if practicable, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.
- The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.
- The authors should make sure that they have not passed off another's paper as the author's own paper, copied or paraphrased substantial parts of another's paper (without attribution), and/or claimed results from research conducted by others.

- An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior.
- Authors should give a proper acknowledgment of the work of others. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.
- Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors.
- All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.
- When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.